

ERRATA TO VOLUME 120

T. Tamura, *The theory of operations on binary relations*, pp. 343–358.

p. 348, line 1 from the bottom:

For “ \mathcal{T} is a complete lattice in $\mathcal{B}_0 - \{\square\}$ ”

read “ \mathcal{T} is a complete lattice which is meet invariant in \mathcal{B}_0 ”.

p. 349, line 3: Delete “ $\square \neq$ ”

The operation “ \cap ” in (4.2') is the intersection in \mathcal{B}_0 .

p. 351, line 1: For “ \mathfrak{F} ” read “ \mathfrak{E} ”.

p. 353, line 7 from the bottom: Insert “ Π ” immediately before “ \subseteq ”.

p. 353, line 2 from the bottom: For “ $\Pi\rho$ ” read “ $\rho\Pi$ ”.

p. 353, §6: All \mathcal{B} 's in §6 should be assumed to be \mathcal{B}_0 .

p. 354, line 14: Ξ should be assumed to be finite.

p. 356, line 3: Insert “congruence” before “relation”.

p. 356, line 12 from the bottom: For “ $f_\xi = g_\xi$ ” read “ $f_\eta = g_\eta$ ” and assume Δ is finite.

p. 357, line 12: Assume Ξ is finite.

p. 357, line 13 from the bottom: For “ G ” read “ G/ρ ”.

Remark. Corollary 6.1 can be applied to an implication

$$f_\xi \rho g_\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \Xi \Rightarrow h \rho k$$

where Ξ is finite. Therefore the validity of the application of Corollary 6.1 to the case where Ξ is infinite is left unknown. However, Corollary 6.1 is still applicable for the example (6.14) without discussing “join-conservativeness.”

The author thanks Professor G. B. Preston for his pointing out the errata.